

ANNEX IV

Periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Product name: Infrastructure Feeder Fund I K/S

Legal entity identifier: (CVR. No. 41381922)

## Environmental and/or social characteristics

### Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

<input checked="" type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> Yes	<input type="radio"/> <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
<input type="checkbox"/> It made <b>sustainable investments with an environmental objective:</b> ____% <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</li> </ul> <input type="checkbox"/> It made <b>sustainable investments with a social objective:</b> ____%	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It <b>promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics</b> and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of <u>100%</u> of sustainable investments <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> with a social objective</li> </ul> <input type="checkbox"/> It promoted E/S characteristics, but <b>did not make any sustainable investments</b>

The following legal entities, Infrastructure Feeder Fund I SCap K/S and Infrastructure Feeder Fund I K/S (each of which is an alternative investment fund) are part of a whole fund structure (collectively "IFF I" or the "Fund"), managed by Nordic Investment Opportunities A/S, company number (CVR no.) 39785595 ("NIO" or the "Manager"). The allocation of investors' commitment to each entity is driven by tax, legal and regulatory reasons unrelated to IFF I's sustainability objectives. Furthermore, an investor's exposure to the underlying assets of IFF I is not affected by the allocation of its commitment to any one particular legal entity comprised by IFF I. For these reasons, IFF I is for the purposes of this periodic disclosure deemed to be a single financial product. *The commitments of this product has been given to Copenhagen Infrastructure IV K/S and CI IV US AIV Non-QFPF K/S (each of which is an alternative investment fund) that are part of a whole fund structure (collectively "CI IV" or the "Master Fund"), managed by Copenhagen Infrastructure Partners P/S, company number (CVR no.) 37994006 ("CIP"). The allocation of the Feeder Funds' commitment to each entity is driven by tax, legal and regulatory reasons unrelated to CI IV's sustainability objectives. Furthermore, the Feeder Funds exposure to the underlying assets of CI IV is not affected by the allocation of its commitment to any one particular legal entity comprised by CI IV.*

**Sustainable investment** means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not include a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.



## To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The sustainable investment objective of CI IV was to invest in energy infrastructure assets that contributed to one or more of the following environmental objectives:

- (1) climate change mitigation; or
- (2) increased global renewable energy capacity; or
- (3) increased global renewable energy generation; or
- (4) reduction in greenhouse gas emissions

During the reference period, final investment decision ("FID") was reached in relation to nine corporate equity investments. No other investment opportunities have reached FID by the end of the reference period.

### **Investment Strategy**

CI IV will invest in renewable energy infrastructure, which may include offshore wind, onshore wind, solar PV, biomass and energy-from-waste, transmission and distribution, and other energy assets like reserve capacity and storage.

This investment strategy is established in the Master fund documentation governing CI IV. CI IV is not required to apply any additionally defined selection strategy to attain the environmental objective/s. The Master fund documentation is the "binding element" of the investment strategy. The investment strategy is implemented via a series of investment decision gateways, one of which is the FID gateway. CIP will not present an investment to the CI IV decision-making bodies (including the Limited Partners Advisors Committee which includes investor representatives) for FID unless it falls within the abovementioned strategy.

Only investments which follow the procedures set out in this disclosure are expected to be approved by the General Partner as the decision-making body.

CI IV's strategy for ensuring good governance practices in investee companies is ordinarily to establish or confirm the governance structure/system whilst developing the energy infrastructure asset, activity or business (as appropriate). Where relevant, CIP uses its "active owner" governance rights to secure the good governance practices of the investee companies in accordance with CIP's Responsible Investment Policy and the Master Fund's ESG standards.

### ● **How did the sustainability indicators perform?**

CI IV used the following sustainability indicators to measure the attainment of the environmental objectives underpinning CI IV's sustainable investment objective(s):

- 1) Renewable energy capacity (MW)
- 2) Renewable power generation (GWh)
- 3) Estimated CO<sub>2</sub>e emissions avoided (tCO<sub>2</sub>e)

During the reference period, the Master Fund's portfolio consisted of investments in nine energy infrastructure assets, one within storage and distribution of electricity and eight within energy generation. Disclosures in this report, which relate to the sustainability and performance of investments, are made for investments where assets are generating electricity only. Investments under construction and investment opportunities do not generate electricity, and they have therefore not reached a state, where the sustainability indicators can be reliably determined, and they are consequently not included when determining the Master Fund's performance in relation to the sustainability indicators or the percentage of sustainable investments held by CI IV.

Therefore, the underlying economic activity is an activity contributing to the Master Fund's sustainable environmental objective of **reduction in greenhouse gas emissions and climate change mitigation**.

**Sustainability indicators** measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

<b>Environmental indicators<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>2022</b>	<b>2023</b>
Renewable energy capacity	815 MW	2.076 MW
Renewable energy generation	706 GWh	485 GWh
Acual CO2e emissions avoided	127.000 tCO2e	141.231 tCO2e

*Renewable energy capacity and renewable energy generation are subject to a limited assurance provided by an auditor or a review by a third party as required in the Article 64 of the commission delegated regulation (EU) 2022/1288 of 6 April 2022.*

**...and compared to previous periods?**

*Please see table above. Two new projects have been included in the Master fund adding to the renewable energy capacity, however, both are not operational yet, hence their addition is not reflected in renewable energy generation. In addition, one operational project has been fully divested decreasing renewable energy generation. Another asset in the United States, increased its production significantly during 2023, which created a significantly higher avoided emissions number due to a high emission factor.*

**What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?**

*The product is a feeder fund whose sole purpose is to invest in and make coinvestments alongside the Master Fund. The Master Fund, CI IV is classified as an Article 9 SFDR product having a sustainable investment objective. We have verified that the Master Fund follows the climate change mitigation environmental objective according to the Taxonomy Regulation. The Master Fund only invested in economic activities related to sustainable energy & infrastructure that contributed to the environmental objectives mentioned in the selection above and has categorised 100% of their investments as sustainable.*

**How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?**

*Several mechanisms are in place to ensure that the investment in the Master Fund's portfolio did not significantly harm any sustainable investment objective, including the environmental objectives that the Master Fund seeks to pursue. Investments made by CI IV are governed by a Responsible Investment Policy which, among others, mandates responsible environmental impact management, protects key social objectives such as human and labour rights, and restricts CI IV from investing in controversial weapons that would ordinarily breach humanitarian principles. The Responsible Investment Policy is guided and informed by a number of international voluntary and regulatory frameworks, such as the UN Principles for Responsible Investments (UNPRI), OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPR), IFC Sustainability Framework and Industry Sector Guidelines, and others.*

*Adherence to the Responsible Investment Policy for CI IV is stated in the investment policy section of the Limited Partnership Agreement governing the investors commitment to the Master Fund (the "LPA"). CI IV is also specifically excluded from investing in nuclear or coal fired generation, and the Master Fund is restricted from investing in nuclear weapons or weapons that would ordinarily breach humanitarian principles.*

*In addition to its investment policy scope, CI IV is governed by a set of environmental, social and governance Standards ("ESG Standards"). The ESG Standards, defined for the Master Fund, establish standards which are intended to ensure that the investments of CI IV do not significantly harm any sustainable investment objective, including the environmental objectives that CI IV seeks to pursue. The environmental section of the ESG Standards requires compliance with applicable host country laws and regulations, as well as relevant binding international conventions for the protection of the environment. The social section of the ESG Standards requires compliance with applicable host country*

<sup>1</sup> Figures as shown on the level of the Master Fund.

laws and regulations as well as relevant binding conventions relating to social issues such as health, safety, security, labour rights, cultural heritage, stakeholder engagement, and human rights. Compliance with the core labour standards of the International Labour Organisation is expected.

In addition to the abovementioned documents, CIP utilises the following mechanisms and procedures to ensure that the investments made by CI IV do not significantly harm any sustainable investment objective, including the environmental objectives that it seeks to pursue:

1. An assessment of potential material ESG risks is made for all investments prior to FID, including an assessment of indicators for principal adverse impacts (“PAI”), please see table below for further in-depth explanation of each individual PAI, or any internal documents which reflect, operationalise or incorporate such indicators (e.g. Responsible Investment Policy and CI IV ESG Standards).
2. Excluding coal-fired and nuclear-fired power plants and choosing not to pursue investments that do not materially align with CI IV’s defined ESG Standards
3. Due diligence conducted or arranged by CIP’s investment team
4. Internal ESG-specific resources dedicated to supporting investments made by CI IV
5. Mitigation and/or management plans covering sustainability objectives at the investee company level
6. Incorporating contractual clauses covering minimum standards of conduct on investee companies in alignment with CIP’s Responsible Investment Policy and CI IV ESG Standards
7. Prioritising sustainability-related topics at board meetings and/or steering committees of investee companies where CI IV is represented, and exercising voting rights in favour of sustainability-related topics
8. Monitoring of sustainability performance of investee companies through mandatory reporting
9. Responding to sustainability incidents through CI IV’s position on the board and/or steering committee of the investee company if applicable

During the reference period, the investment made by the Master Fund was subject to the mechanisms and procedures described above and was considered to be materially aligned with them. As such, it is assessed that no significant harm was caused to any sustainable investment objective, including the environmental objectives pursued by this financial product.

#### *How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?*

Relevant principal adverse impact indicators are considered through the lens of material ESG risks, such as environmental, health and safety legislation and enforcement, human and labour rights risks, corruption risks, and are operationalised through several procedures and relevant documents described in the section above. During the reference period, indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors were taken into account for the investment in the Master Fund’s portfolio (which has reached FID) through:

- 1) Conducting an assessment of potential material ESG risks for all investments prior to FID. This includes pre-investment screening and due diligence processes, which are led by CIP’s Investment Team and supported by CIP’s ESG function, and where relevant by external advisors
- 2) Mitigation and/or management plans for relevant potential adverse impacts at investee company level
- 3) Monitoring of relevant potential adverse impacts of investee companies through reporting on either a monthly, bi-monthly, quarterly or yearly basis

4) Responding to incidents relating to relevant potential adverse impacts through CIP's position on the board and/or steering committee of the investee company if applicable

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

CIP's Responsible Investment Policy and the CI IV-specific ESG Standards are intended to be aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, including the principles and rights set out in the eight fundamental conventions identified in the Declaration of the International Labour Organisation on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the International Bill of Human Rights set of guidelines (the "Guidelines"). During the reference period, there were no known violations of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

**Principal adverse impacts** are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.



### How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

Principal adverse impact indicators are considered through the lens of material ESG risks, such as environmental, health and safety legislation and enforcement, human and labour rights risks, corruption risks, and are operationalised through several procedures and relevant documents described in the section above.

During the reference period, one corporate equity investment reached FID, and this investment was subject to the mechanisms and procedures described above.

The number reported below are on a Master Fund level.

Mandatory PAI Indicators			Impact 2022	Impact 2023	Actions taken, actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
1.	GHG Emissions	TCO2e	329.148	N/A	<b>General Approach</b> CIP's approach to assessing and managing climate-related risks is guided by the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) (now incorporated into the ISSB's standards). Prior to FID, CIP's investment team is responsible for conducting the overall pre-investment due diligence. CIP's investment team shall rely on or arrange for targeted due diligence to be performed on relevant ESG topics to a potential investment, which shall, as relevant, include an assessment of risks relating to:
Scope 1		TCO2e	0.01	N/A	
Scope 2		TCO2e	318.04	N/A	
Scope 3		TCO2e	328,830	N/A	
2.	Carbon Footprint	TCO2e/mEUR	93,74	N/A	- Environmental impacts - Environmental compliance and permitting - Health and safety and environmental (HSE) standards of the project and suppliers - Labour standards of the project and suppliers

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Community relations</li> <li>- Human rights</li> <li>- Anti-bribery and corruption</li> </ul>
3. GHG Intensity of investee companies	TCO2e/mEUR	977,51	N/A		<p><b>Actions Taken</b></p> <p>During the year we have worked on establishing procedures for gathering relevant data in order to calculate these indicators. Due to the deadline for this periodic disclosure, we are not yet able to calculate 2023 GHG emissions, and derived figures (Carbon footprint and GHG intensity) As proxy for GHG emissions we have therefore used Expected Annual Lifecycle (scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions).</p> <p><b>Actions Planned</b></p> <p>Focus for the next reference periods will be to improve the data and reporting framework to ensure better data gathering and quality of the indicators. We have furthermore developed a decarbonisation approach, which will ensure focus and data delivery regarding GHG emissions, enabling us to track GHG emissions for new projects.</p>
4. Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector	%	0	0		<p><b>General Approach/Actions Take/Actions Planned</b> CIP has no investments in companies which are active in the fossil fuel sector. During the next reference periods we will continue to monitor the indicator to seek continued alignment to CIP's policy.</p>
5. Share of nonrenewable energy consumption and production	%	30%			<p><b>General Approach</b></p> <p>Prior to FID, CIP's investment team is responsible for conducting the overall pre-investment due diligence. CIP's investment team shall rely on or arrange for targeted due diligence to be performed on relevant ESG topics to a potential investment, which shall, as relevant, include an assessment of risks relating to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Environmental impacts</li> <li>- Environmental compliance and permitting</li> </ul>
Share of nonrenewable energy consumption	%		96		
Share of nonrenewable energy production	%		0		
6. Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector	GWh/mEUR	N/A		Climate Sector D: 0.007	<p><b>Actions Taken</b></p> <p>During the year CIP have established procedures for gathering relevant data in order to calculate these indicators.</p> <p><b>Actions Planned</b></p> <p>During the next reference periods CIP will monitor and work to improve the indicator within each investment in the Master Fund.</p>
7. Activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas	%	N/A	0		<p><b>General Approach</b></p> <p>During the reference period CIP has implemented a Biodiversity Action Plan which seeks to ensure that potential impacts relevant to this indicator are minimised. This is done by identifying risks and conducting an Environmental Impact Assessment for each investment made in the Master fund. As a part of this process all biodiversity risks are mitigated to seek biodiversity neutrality.</p> <p><b>Actions Taken</b></p> <p>During the year CIP have established procedures for gathering relevant data in order to calculate these indicators. All investments in the Master Fund report no negative impact during the reference period.</p> <p><b>Actions Planned</b></p> <p>During the next reference periods CIP will continue to monitor the indicator to seek continued alignment with the Master Funds policy.</p>
8. Emissions to water	Tonnes/mEUR	0	0		<p><b>General Approach</b></p> <p>Prior to FID, CIP's investment team is responsible for conducting the overall pre-investment due diligence. CIP's investment team shall rely on or arrange for targeted due diligence to be performed on relevant ESG topics to a potential investment, which shall, as relevant, include an assessment of risks relating to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Environmental impacts</li> </ul>

- Environmental compliance and permitting

**Actions Taken**

During the year CIP have established procedures for gathering relevant data in order to calculate the indicator. The investment in the Master Fund report no negative impact during the reference period.

**Actions Planned**

During the next reference periods CIP will continue to monitor the indicator to seek continued alignment.

**General Approach**

Part of CIP Responsible Investment Policy includes minimizing, in accordance with good industry practice, the environmental consequences related to the construction and operations phases of underlying assets, specifically regarding the use of hazardous materials. In addition, prior to FID, CIP's investment team is responsible for conducting the overall pre-investment due diligence. CIP's investment team shall rely on or arrange for targeted due diligence to be performed on relevant ESG topics to a potential investment, which shall, as relevant, include an assessment of risks relating to:

- Environmental impacts
- Environmental compliance and permitting

9. Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio	Tonnes/ mEUR	0	0
--	-----------------	---	---

**Actions Taken**

During the year CIP have established procedures for gathering relevant data in order to calculate these indicators. All investments in the Master Fund report no negative impact during the reference period.

**Actions Planned**

During the next reference periods CIP will continue to monitor the indicator to seek continued alignment.

**General Approach**

General Approach CIP is a signatory to the UN Principles for Responsible Investment and is thus committed to the integration of ESG factors throughout each stage of CIP's standard investment process: investment selection; due diligence and structuring; and investment management during construction and operations. CIP's responsible investment principles are guided by the following international standards and norms:

- UN Principles for Responsible Investments (UN PRI)
- The Ten Principles of the UN Global Compact (UNGC)
- UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPR)
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- The Equator Principles
- IFC Sustainability Framework and Industry Sector Guidelines
- Good industry practice in management of HSE issues

10. Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	%	0	0
--	---	---	---

In addition, prior to FID, CIP's investment team is responsible for conducting the overall pre-investment due diligence. CIP's investment team shall rely on or arrange for targeted due diligence to be performed on relevant ESG topics to a potential investment, which shall, as relevant, include an assessment of risks relating to:

- Environmental impacts
- Environmental compliance and permitting
- Health and safety and environmental (HSE) standards of the project and suppliers
- Labour standards of the project and suppliers
- Community relations
- Human rights
- Anti-bribery and corruption

**Actions Taken**

During the year CIP have established procedures for gathering relevant data in order to calculate these indicators. All projects reported no violations during the reference period.

**Actions Planned**

During the next reference periods CIP will continue to monitor the indicator to seek continued alignment.

**General Approach**

CIP is a signatory to the UN Principles for Responsible Investment and is thus committed to the integration of ESG factors throughout each stage of CIP's standard investment process: investment selection; due diligence and structuring; and investment management during construction and operations.

CIP's responsible investment principles are guided by the following international standards and norms:

- UN Principles for Responsible Investments (UN PRI)
- The Ten Principles of the UN Global Compact (UNGC)
- UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPR)
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- The Equator Principles
- IFC Sustainability Framework and Industry Sector Guidelines
- Good industry practice in the management of HSE issues

11. Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises

%

N/A

60

In addition, prior to FID, CIP's investment team is responsible for conducting the overall pre-investment due diligence. CIP's investment team shall rely on or arrange for targeted due diligence to be performed on relevant ESG topics to a potential investments, which shall, as relevant, include and assessment of risk relating to:

- Community relations
- Human rights
- Anti-bribery and corruption

**Actions Taken**

During the year CIP have established procedures for gathering relevant data in order to calculate these indicators.

**Actions Planned**

During the next reference periods CIP will work to improve the indicator within each investment made in the Master Fund. Having a focus on establishing grievance and compliance procedures within each investment made.

**General Approach**

CIP is a signatory to the UN Principles for Responsible Investment and is thus committed to the integration of ESG factors throughout each stage of CIP's standard investment process: investment selection; due diligence and structuring; and investment management during construction and operations.

CIP's responsible investment principles are guided by various international standards and norms including the Ten Principles of the UN Global Compact (UNGC) and thereby also principle 6, the elimination of discrimination in respect of employment and occupation.

12. Unadjusted gender pay gap

%

N/A

0

**Actions Taken**

During the year CIP have established procedures for gathering relevant data in order to calculate these indicators. Data is currently unavailable for Panther Grove.

**Actions Planned**



During the next reference periods CIP will work to improve the indicator and gather further data.

13. Board gender diversity	Female/ Male ratio	4/23	1/20	<p><b>General Approach</b> CIP is a signatory to the UN Principles for Responsible Investment and is thus committed to the integration of ESG factors throughout each stage of CIP's standard investment process: investment selection; due diligence and structuring; and investment management during construction and operations.</p> <p>CIP's responsible investment principles are guided by various international standards and norms including the Ten Principles of the UN Global Compact (UNGC) and thereby also principle 6, the elimination of discrimination in respect of employment and occupation.</p> <p><b>Actions Taken</b> During the year CIP have established procedures for gathering relevant data in order to calculate the indicator.</p> <p><b>Actions Planned</b> During the next reference periods CIP will monitor and work to improve the indicator within each investment made in the Master Fund.</p>
----------------------------	--------------------------	------	------	---

14. Exposure to controversial weapons (antipersonnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons)	%	0	0	<p><b>General Approach</b> Part of CIP Responsible Investment Policy includes that investment are made in the manufacture of weapons, which in the course of normal intended use would breach fundamental humanitarian principles (e.g., atomic, biological or chemical weapons, cluster bombs or antipersonnel landmines), or in the development, production or storage of nuclear weapons, or in the production of components made explicitly for use in nuclear weapons.</p> <p><b>Actions Taken</b> During the year CIP have established procedures for gathering relevant data in order to calculate these indicators.</p> <p><b>Actions Planned</b> During the next reference periods CIP will continue to monitor the indicator to seek continued alignment.</p>
---	---	---	---	---

Vountary PAI Indicators		Impact 2022 <sup>2</sup>	Impact 2023	Actrions taken, actions planned and targers set for the next reference period
5. Breakdown of energy consumption by type of non-renewable sources of energy	GWh	1,42	13,5	<p><b>General Approach</b> Prior to FID, CIP's investment team is responsible for conducting the overall pre-investment due diligence. CIP's investment team shall rely on or arrange for targeted due diligence to be performed on relevant ESG topics to a potential investment, which shall, as relevant, include an assessment of risks relating to: - Environmental impacts - Environmental compliance and permitting</p> <p>- Environmental impacts - Environmental compliance and permitting</p> <p><b>Actions Taken</b> During the year CIP have established procedures for gathering relevant data in order to calculate these indicators. As there is only one project that has passed FID, Panther Grove, and this project is in pre-construction phase, this indicator is reported to 0. Actions Planned During the next reference periods CIP will work to gather further data.</p>
Electricity from grid	%	0,69	34	
Diesel	%	0	50	
MGO	%	0	12	
Propane	%	0	4	
Natural Gas	%	0	0	

#### Actions Planned

During the next reference periods CIP will work to gather further data

#### General Approach

H&S has always been fundamental to CIP's way of working. Whilst H&S risks inherent to building and operating largescale energy projects can never be entirely eliminated, CIP take a proactive approach to identify risks and prevent incidents. Prior to FID, CIP's investment team is responsible for conducting the overall pre-investment due diligence. CIP's investment team shall rely on or arrange for targeted due diligence to be performed on relevant ESG topics to a potential investment, which shall, as relevant, include an assessment of risks relating to:

-Health and safety and environmental (HSE) standards of the project and suppliers

Furthermore, CIP expect and require projects to place clear H&S requirements on suppliers when driving procurement. This is implemented through CIP's Code of Conduct for Business Partners.

#### Actions Taken

During the year CIP have established procedures for gathering relevant data in order to calculate these indicators. In addition, CIP have increased CIP's capacity to support on H&S efforts and further strengthened CIP's governance arrangements at this stage. This is done by strengthening CIP's processes for assessing risk, implementing preventive measures and responding to and learning from ESG-related incidents. For H&S specifically, CIP have developed CIP-wide incident response procedures, building on existing project level best practices in terms of incident notification and investigation. As there is only one project that has passed FID, Panther Grove, and this project is in pre-construction phase, this indicator is reported to 0.

#### Actions Planned

During the next reference periods CIP will monitor and work to improve the indicator within each investment in the Master Fund. Moreover, CIP will initiate training with projects and systematic follow ups on progress through regular touchpoints.

3. Number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness Days N/A 3



## What were the top investments of this financial product?

Gross asset value ("GAV") as per 31 December 2023 is used as the basis for calculating the proportions (%) of investments that have reached FID. These have been calculated with a regular interval.

Largest investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
Zone 29	Energy Generation	23,5%	Taiwan
Lotus Creek	Energy Generation	17,7%	Australia
Slough	Energy Generation	16%	United Kingdom
Fighting Jays	Energy Generation	14,4%	United States of America
Buffalo Plains	Energy Generation	14%	Canada
Teruel	Energy Generation	8%	Spain
Coalburn I	Storage and distribution of electricity	3%	United Kingdom
Travers	Energy Generation	2,8%	Canada
Panther Grove	Energy Generation	0,5%	United States of America

The list includes the investments constituting the greatest proportion of investments of the financial product during the reference period which is: 1 January 2023 – 31 December 2023




## What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

The proportion of sustainability-related investments was 100%

### ● What was the asset allocation?

CI IV has committed to make a minimum of 95% sustainable investments with an environmental objective. In the reference period 100% of the investments (which have reached FID) held by CI IV were sustainable investments with an environmental objective.



**#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

**#2 Other** includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:

- The sub-category **#1A Sustainable** covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

GAV as per 31 December 2023 is used as the basis for calculating the proportion of investments.

	2022	2023
<b>Sustainable</b>	100%	100%
<b>Not Sustainable</b>	0%	0%

- **In which economic sectors were the investments made?** During the reference period, nine investments reached FID. This was an investment in the economic sector: storage and distribution of electricity, and energy generation, which are all treated as renewable energy. No investments were made in sectors nor sub-sectors, which derive revenues from exploration, mining, extraction, production, processing, storage and trade, of fossil fuels. Other investments made, which have not reached FID, were made within the renewable energy sector. Overall during the reference period there was no investment exposure to fossil fuels. During the reference period there was no investment exposure to fossil fuels.

	2022	2023
<b>Exposure to fossil fuel</b>	0	0

To comply with the EU Taxonomy, the criteria for **fossil gas** include limitations on emissions and switching to fully renewable power or low-carbon fuels by the end of 2035. For **nuclear energy**, the criteria include comprehensive safety and waste management rules.

**Enabling activities** directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

**Transitional activities** are activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and among others have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

## To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?



During this reference period, w% of Fund's investment are aligned with the EU Taxonomy.

	Climate change mitigation	Climate change adaptation	The sustainable use and protection of water and marine resources	The transition to circular economy	Pollution prevention and control	The protection and restoration of biodiversity and ecosystems
Alignment	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

All of the requirements laid down in Article 3 of Regulation (EU) 2020/852 were subject to an agreed-upon procedure provided by one or more auditors or a review by one or more third parties.

### Agreed-Up On Procedures Methodology for EU Taxonomy:

For each investment made by a Master fund, the overall steps to determine whether that investment is aligned with the Regulation are as follows:

a. Determine which investments made by the Master fund at end of 2023 are potentially within the scope of this exercise. This is done through:

- i. Determine the investments which have taken FID and are in the Master fund's portfolio at end of 2023
- ii. Determine which of the investments listed at (a) are in economic activities listed in the EU Taxonomy and are not otherwise excluded from scope for a specific reason

b. For the investments which satisfy limbs (1)(a) – (b) (i.e. are within the scope of this exercise), perform an EU Taxonomy-alignment test for each underlying economic activity for that investment. This is done through:

- i. Determine which of the six environmental objectives under the EU Taxonomy is applicable to the economic activity relevant to the investment
- ii. Assess if that economic activity meets the 'substantial contribution' criteria (limb (i))
- iii. Assess if that economic activity meets the 'do no significant harm' criteria (limb (ii))
- iv. Assess if that economic activity meets the 'minimum safeguards' criteria (limb (iii))

## ● Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy<sup>3</sup>?

Yes:

In fossil gas  In nuclear energy


No

<sup>2</sup> Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:

- **turnover** reflecting the share of revenue from green activities of investee companies.
- **capital expenditure** (CapEx) showing the green investments made by investee companies, e.g. for a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (OpEx) reflecting green operational activities of investee companies.

**The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds\*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.**



\* For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures.

● **What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?** During the reference period, the proportion of investments in enabling activities was 0% and investments in transitional activities was 0%.

	2022	2023
Transactional activities	0%	0%
Enabling activities	0%	0%

● **How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?** Based on an extensive assessment, the Master fund is able to be 100% aligned with the EU taxonomy. It is thus deemed that the percentage figure which may need to be reported under the SFDR for Taxonomy-alignment according to the categories of "turnover, capital expenditure and operational expenditure" will be the same figure for each of the three categories.

	2022	2023
Turnover	0%	81%
CapEx	0%	81%
OpEx	0%	81%



are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.



**What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?** *81% of the share of sustainable investments (which have reached FID) with an environmental objective were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. Therefore, 0% of sustainable investments with an environmental objective were not aligned with the EU Taxonomy.*



**What was the share of socially sustainable investments?**  
N/A



**What investments were included under “other”, what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?**  
N/A



**What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?** *The investment (which has reached FID) held by the Master Fund during the reference period was subject to the mechanisms and procedures described in the previous sections (i.e Responsible Investment Policy, CI IV ESG Standards, CI IV investment policy, assessment and monitoring of relevant potential adverse impacts of investee companies) and was considered to be materially aligned with them. In addition, to ensure good governance practices in investee companies, CIP uses its “active owner” governance rights to secure the good governance practices of the investee companies in accordance with CIP’s Responsible Investment Policy and CI IV’s ESG Standards.*



**How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?**  
*No reference benchmark has been designated for the purpose of attaining the sustainable investment objective.*

- **How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?**  
N/A
- **How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?**  
N/A
- **How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?**  
N/A
- **How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?**  
N/A

**Reference benchmarks** are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.